#### Do you have any questions about the information provided?

How does this plan promote convenience while simultaneously inhibiting the ability of students to eat anywhere on campus? How does this plan help provide the students with a better service, would that not fall on the front-line staff regardless? Although Lister Hall has some of the cheapest room and board in the country, why increase the price substantially? Why limit students to eat in the Lister cafeteria and proposed new meal hall if there is not enough space for them to eat? Why can't dining hours be longer currently? How does this meal plan allow for staff to better predict inventory if the menu is constantly rotating? How does this cater to students that would not spend \$5000 in food over the course of one year, let alone 8 months? What about students that rely on themselves to pay for school and rent, does this not further exclude them? With Lister already being a very strong community, how does this help with including Lister into the rest of the campus community if students have to continually go back to Lister? What about the students that are on campus for 8+ hours per day and do not want microwaved cafeteria food for lunch? What about the transition a student must make from residence to private living/non-meal plan living, does this promote convenience while limiting students to spend only \$300 at the Marina per year? How does this promote variability and diversity in food choices when it limits the students meal options (away from Opa, L'express, Subway)? The concept/addition of flex dollars just seems like an extra step, how does this differ from paying with your debit or credit card? What about students that only have 10 minute breaks between classes and long lab periods and can't make it to Lister or Peter Lougheed and back, how is this more convenient for that student? How does this meal plan promote sustainability when many of the environmental changes could happen with the current meal plan?

What happens to places like the Marina and SUB? Will they use the "flex cash", because most of the time students are going to be eating lunch at SUB, meaning that \$300 flex cash wouldn't be enough for an entire year of eating lunch at SUB? How is this issue going to be addressed?

With this anytime dining model, is it an all you can eat? Will I pay \$5000 and be able to go to the cafeteria/Mar anytime I want and get whatever food I want "without paying".

Why was the name of the programme changed from AYCTE to Anytime Dining?

How morally deprived are you to peddle this awful plan?

Why is it so vague?

Why do you want to force us to get food from one location everyday? Why can't the meal plan stay the same?

What is the logic behind changing the current system? The current system already works and caters to students who would prefer to make their own food or simply cannot afford larger meals plans.

Won't students who eat less (those on the smaller meal plan right now) be paying more for no reason?

How will the leftover money be refunded?

Why is it mandatory for all lister residents to get this meal plan? The majority if residents don't even use the highest meal plan this year, let alone an anytime meal plan.

Will there be another alternative for those that can't afford the meal plan and residence?

How do you plan to handle the massive volume of kids coming. Lister is a massive residence with line ups already extensive, having only one location of food sources, do you really think that this will be an efficient means of food.

What if I am a light eater. Will I get my values worth or will I be paying for all the heavy eaters to eat there fill? Also what about the mar? Will they only accept the flex dollars?

What happens to the meal plan money after your year at residence? Students will not go through it all because they will get sick of the food easily after the first semester. I ate at the caf probably 5 times so far this year and `only a handful of times last year second semester.

As of now, people who cook for themselves and don't spend the money get it back on a budget account that will be returned to them once they graduate. With this new mandatory meal plan if a person spends little to no money, will they just be giving a free \$5000 to dining? What if you have a busy schedule and can't get food throughout the whole day and don't want a cold, bagged lunch getting mashed in your bag? What if you are a very late eater and want a hot meal but dining services closes before you eat? What if you wake up late and have to rush to class and don't have time to pick up a bagged meal and can't come back throughout the day? What if you can't afford to spend \$12000 on residence on top of tuition?

Yeah, what the heck!?

Will we still be paying for half the meal plan each semester because that is a lot of money to spend in top of residence fees and our regular university fees in just one semester. Some of us are only able to go to school because of government funding and the maximum amount you can get in a semester is \$7500 which will not cover the housing fee, new meal plan cost, and our school fees.

Is it possible to be cheaper?

Why do you think this is a good idea?

How does the so called "flex money" work exactly?

So what about people who don't eat or want to eat? How does pricing work with respect to how much you eat? Also I clicked on the link to the SFU page, just for an example and it didn't work... Also, I understand that this is being launched in Lister and the Peter Lougheed Hall, which can be expensive, but that does not mean that the \$5000 we pay should only contain \$300 flexdollars, because this transition is a big enough gamble as is (for too many reasons to discuss here); furthermore, the convenience aspect is actually eliminated for the most part, considering that both of those areas to use mealplan money are at the edges of campus, where any hypothetical first year would never spend their time.

How is this an improvement on the last plan. There is no relevant data saying this would help anyone.

How can you force people, who all eat different amounts of food, to pay the same amount of money? Under the current Meal Plan people who enjoy cooking for themselves can do so and simply get their meal plan money refunded to their account at the end of the year. Why should we exclude students that prefer to cook their own food from staying in residence and making friends. What is the point of even

having kitchens on the floor if you implement this?

Are there still changes that will be made to the proposal?

Why would not include the other dining services (i.e. SUB) on campus to provide the students with more options?

How much do students actually spend on lister centre in their meal plans now? as opposed to the other options in campus. Who is calling for the change in the meal plan? Is it the students or is it just the dining services planning on making more money off the students?

How much does the average student actually eat to call for an increase in the amount on the meal plan that is almost half the cost of living in Lister?

What happens to students who can't afford the amount on the meal plan? Why isn't there an option to opt out ? Not every likes the food in the cafe and they want to make their own foods to suit their preferences.

Why are we not allowed to take the food back to our dorms, this restricts residents to stay in the cafe when it might not be convenient.

Why do you think this would be a good idea?

How will a meal plan even more expensive benefit students in any way?

Why is it necessary to charge students more for a mandatory meal plan?

Why does the food plan need to change. Increasing the price will not increase the quality.

Why is it not an option to still be able to chose from SUB or CAB without a \$300 budget, \$300 just is not enough out of the \$5000 residence will be paying.

Why switch the meal plan?

According to the proposal are you aware that lister students students will have to walk all the way back to lister for lunch.

Would the Marina be operating as well in the new plan? Would we be able to take food back to our rooms?

Can it be used on campus anywhere

Why can't we take our meals back to our rooms or other places to eat? The cafeteria can get overcrowded and it's more convenient for students to be able to grab their food and then study somewhere while eating.

In what way does this actually benefit the student and not just your company?

Why won't you let people take food outside of the dining hall?

The presentation does not include how much it will cost nor what other actions you propose on taking such as limiting the mealplan to just the cafeteria, why was this information left out? To be properly informed, we need to know the positive outcomes and negative outcomes.

Why did anybody think this was a good idea?

Why limit the residents to only the caf besides the very small flex cash

Why do you want to con money from students who are already struggling to pay your current fees, along with already outrageous tuition prices?

\*The SU proposed a meal plan change before and it had an 85% disapproval rate so how is this one different than the SU's? \*Why can't this meal plan be optional? It makes residence harder to afford for many people, and it becomes money thrown away if they are light eaters.

How do you think students can afford this? (They can't)

Why is it so expensive? Why is there an attempt to implement it again when students have already rejected it before? Is there an option for students who spend less on food? What makes this meal plan seem like a good way to make students buy good from the caf more frequently (forcing it on them is not a good strategy)?

Why are you making this change?

How would this impact students who DO NOT eat 5000 dollars worth of food. WHY should students only have access to the caf food (especially if studying late night on campus) and why is flex dollars only 300 dollars. that is NOT enough. if this plan does come to play, how are you going to offer versatility?

Is money only deducted when you go for food or is it deducted everyday regardless?

what will happen to my left over meal plan money carried from previous year?

Why are you pricing out students with this outrageously expensive proposal?

Why do students have to have a meal plan like they are in a 5 star hotel?

It is said that the anytime dining will not be available at all places that current meal plan is available. Is that mean students can only purchase food with anytime dining as the Marina, the Lister market, and the Peter Lougheed Hall? Will SUB food court be available for anytime dining meal plan?

If I can't spend all my current meal plan money by the end of my residence contract next year, will I still be able to spend them "at the same locations where meal plan is accepted" as indicated on the residence website?

I understand the need for a larger meal plan for a portion of the students, but isn't there a way we could allow those of us that don't need it another option?

### Do you have any recommendations in reference to the proposed plan?

There MUST be a much larger cafeteria space provided for students to eat since many times, currently, it is hard to find a place to sit. For this meal plan to be effective at the University of Alberta there must be more structure built to allow this meal plan to succeed. The meal plan change should be put on hold until such changes are made.

Plan does not provide sufficient options for out of Lister dining. Flex dollars is pretty much another one card cash account that we DO NOT need. \$5000 is a waste if spent on Lister food alone, unless you plan on bringing filistix, panda express, starbucks and subway into Lister, kindly leave the meal plan as it is -.-

I think this meal plan should be scrapped all together. Last year I lived here, meal plan was good and also this year the meal plan is just as good. I don't see the reason for change. Only reason think this proposal was even made was to keep money in lister.

### Do not do it, its not affordable or efficient for the residents.

I think that the plan is way too expensive, already students are paying a lot of money for residence, and because the meal plan is mandatory this is an additional cost. In my personal experience, I will not be spending the full amount of my meal plan because I cannot eat this much (and I have the lowest meal plan value). Instead of making everyone pay the same amount (because not everyone eats the same amount), I think that a new meal plan option, with a lower amount should be added.

make it cheaper or have different level for costs options

1. This is not affordable at all for all students. A student will not be able to afford all of this if they are using student loans to pay for housing, schooling, textbooks, and on top of that food.

2. As a third year student I would not have returned to Lister if this meal plan was in place. I think this makes sense for younger students, as they do not have all the skills and resource to buy groceries and cook. But for me as a third year student I'm beyond it and want to cook my own food.

3. There needs to be more space created in Caf before this programme is rolled out. It'll be a nightmare if every single student in Lister went to the Caf around meal times. Opening up a conference room for student use is not enough, it creates an awkward seating arrangement. Renovations need to take place before Anytime Dining is implemented.

4. The space on floors used for cooking should be remade as well and rules will have to be rewritten. What's the purpose of having a full kitchen on every floor if everyone is expected to eat in the Caf. How does allowing mini fridges in rooms work with this programme, when everyone is expected to eat from the Caf.

5. There needs to be a place on campus that would offer the AYCTE meal plan to residents. It would increase usage of the meal plan and allow for students to not have to rush between classes to get food. I am aware that the Peter Lougheed Leadership College may have a facility for this that Lister residents could use, but their should be something offered in the Science/Engg side of campus too.

Overall all these things should be more seriously looked at and at least partially solved before any implementation occurs.

### Cancel it and keep the current system.

I have not read the slides, because I'm only concerned about one part of the plan: not being able to spend meal plan money else where on campus. For the proposed amount of money that it would cost, I would never in a million years eat at lister center enough to finish it. I spend the majority of my lunches on campus, and sometimes supper as well, and I rarely even eat breakfast. I no longer live in lister, but I know people who do with similar situations. So it is ridiculous to me that a student would be expected to spend it all in lister.

# Do not implement.

### DON'T DO IT

DO NOT DO IT! I livied in Lister the 2 years previous to this, and the only reason I found the meal plans bearable was the option to spend my money in SUB and CAB. The food that the MAR and lister caf provided very quickly became unappealing. I spent most of my days on campus and running back to Lister for food was not really a option, and I pre-made lunch provided by Aramark does not at all appeal to me. I truest believe this idea would not work for the scale of lister, Aramark had problems with providing sanitary and uncontaminated food to the relitavely small amount of people that ate at the lister caf on a typical day without making it mandatory for everyone to eat there. Not to mention the wait for food would be too long.

I believe this new plan quite honestly is garbage

Eliminate the proposed plan

More flex dollars to be used on campus

To not only offer a plan that is so expensive. An all you can eat plan is not good in promoting students to eat healthy, as they will simply continue to eat to get the most out of there money. I for one know that I would not have been able to afford this plan in my first year, and this may have stopped me from living in lister my first year.

As an RA, our current rate of pay is able to cover the current meal plan option. If I were to come back to lister with an increased meal plan, I think a lot of RAs would appreciate an increase in pay to make living in Lister more worth it. I really love the layout of the new plan though.

I do not agree that this meal plan change would be beneficial to residents of Lister Centre. It does not accommodate for the different eating habits of people. In addition, it restricts the options for people who prefer to make their own meals, and creates a monopoly when they choose which grocer they want their food from. Residents should be able to get the cheaper meal plan like they can now, and choose if they wish to get food from sources outside of Lister, such as organic and fair trade supermarkets if that correlates with their beliefs.

### If it ain't broke, don't fix it.

I don't like that you are not able to spend the meal plan money on places outside of lister, it is nice to buy lunch at sub

Please keep the meal plan the same. I want the freedom to eat anywhere I want on campus and not have to go back to lister throughout the day to grab food. I think the take to school lunches are an awful idea, I want to be an adult and get food around campus at different places and spend all my meal plan money in various places instead of all at the cafeteria. This will determine if I stay as a dodgeball liaison next year or not. I do not agree with this idea whatsoever and will not want to return to lister if this becomes a reality.

I recommend that the whole idea is scrapped and that residence services sticks with the current system. The new meal plan puts students who can't afford the larger meal plan at a huge disadvantage as they'll barely be able to afford the increasing housing prices and tuition.

DON'T IMPLEMENT IT

Being able to take food to your room. I find a lot of students grab food and go study. During exam season in particular I don't have the time to sit down and eat a full meal. Students lives are busy, and being able to get food on the go is crucial to our lifestyle.

Have more flex dollars for kids who do not have the ability to come back to lister everyday for lunch

"all you can eat" plan isn't fair for all.

keep the original meal plan

I think the plan is too expensive and is not feasible

Don't do it? It's an outrageous idea and is heavily flawed. It puts money in Aramark's pocket, and bankrupts students without choice. Don't implement it

Don't go through with the plan, it does not make sense

Don't implement it

I think I would be a bad idea to go forward with.

To not go ahead with it. Other than that... make it available on all the places that the meal plan is currently usable, and don't force me to pay a set amount for each meal.

flex amount should be higher

Have a cheaper alternative please!

The meal plan cannot and should not be only available for use in the cafeteria. If this plan is put into place student dining will be even more severely limited I do not want the current meal plan to be changed. The flex dollars should be more of the value of the paid price of the meal plan as for us students, we have to eat away from lister and near class a lot. More healthy options I can't afford it Yes, provide different sized plans, as much as you are trying to provide a convenient source of food, there is no denying that a football player will eat more than a small sized girl. The football player will get his money worth but the small girl will be paying far more money than what they will be eating. Having the option to eat out on campus is a great tool as you do not return to campus for meals. During exams, student live on campus and lister is not a confident place for each meal. But you can pack lunches? That is not efficient, people with allergies are not catered to. Carrying lunch around is not a means of proper nutrition, what if you get hungry. You are now tapping into your own money. Don't do it. Wayyyy too expensive. How am I suppose to afford that. And let me buy my food with my money, where I want to buy it... don't force me to buy your shitty food every single day. Let me get this straight. So my tuition for the entire year pretty much costs as much as my meal plan? Which is mandatory? What if I don't want to eat all the time at the cafe or the mar? There is a 0 chance of me spending close to \$5000 on food by Aramark. 7:30 would not be early enough for student with 8 am classes, as many leave lister before 7:30, and if we want breakfast, the lines would make the wait longer before we could leave for classes More vegan option I like to eat peanut butter sandwiches for lunch (cheap, fast and easy) and I don't want to pay \$5000 a year for peanut butter sandwiches. There should be an option to only get like supper or something for a reduced price. Yes, propose a new one please The current system is flawed, but the alternative being proposed should never have made it off the drawing board. I would have chosen alternative housing rather than dorm if it were mandated that I must spend such an exorbitant sum for less service than I am currently offered. Pay more money for less locations, mandated eating spaces, and standardized food options? No thanks. Fix the currently excessive prices (\$7 for a fruit cup? Really?) And wait times (15 minutes for a burger is excessive). At the absolute minimum, should the new plan be implemented, offer a lower cost option for limited time access (starting at noon or something). Make the new proposal and option not a requirement. Allow us full access to all food sources on campus and don't put a limit on it. Do not restrict the meal plan to only the cafeteria, the meal plan is already inhibiting by being mandatory but making it accessible to only one location would be a great inconvenience to mist students. Personally I can only get one meal a day at Lister, I rely heavily on the outlets in Hub and SUB between classes. Should give me more options on meal plans pls dont I strongly recommend that you scrap this idea right now. Not only do I feel like I am paying too much for my meal plan already, but the fact that I would only be able to use an even more expensive meal plan in only the caf makes me sick. I find that I have trouble finding food that interests me after a month of living here and regardless of how many new options you introduce next year, people will still get tired of the same food every day. I recommend that this idea be scrapped or completely reevaluated because it is not a system that your residents are interested in. The meal plan price should not increase, it already costs too much, and people should still have the freedom to choose how much they want to spend on their meal plan for the year. The marina should still be available as a place to get coffee or a snack once the caf has closed. Keeping the system the way it currently is would be the best for all current and future residents of lister. I recommend that it not be implemented. I do not think increasing the prices will be very effective. I currently have the "large" meal plan and there is more than enough money for me on the plan. Almost everyone I know feels the same. So I do not believe many people will be happy to pay more for the convenience to be able to buy things without paying for it. I do however, love the idea of longer hours and more food diversity. for those who are using the small meal plan currently, this seems strictly worse Don't implement it. I think students should have the option to spend as much, or as little as they want on their meal plans. Forcing people to spend more money is just going to drive away potential residents. Personally, I think U of A should have 3-5 tiers of meal plans from (for example): Supplementary - \$500 per semester, Basic - \$1000 per semester, ect... Continuing by a small set interval like \$500, that way residents have the option to cook as much or as little as they want. Don't do it. I think that if there is an anytime dining system it should apply to every restaurant on campus This plan penalized students who want to cook for themselves, because if you do not buy food in the cafeteria that day you have lost the money allotted to that day with no benefits (or so I believe). This makes it so that fewer students develop skills at cooking food and disadvantages them for after University. Yes. Stop making it cost so much. It should start with a very VERY low minimum - for example, \$2000. From there, the students can add on however much they'd like. If your proposition of food quality, variety, convenience, etc. holds, then any rational person would add more money to that plan. On the contrary, if your proposition doesn't hold, well then that becomes the true result of your project. Yes, i think it should not be approved. It is hard to afford for many out of edmonton students. Noone stays on res all day. Don't implement it. I don't like the idea of anytime dining. I eat many meals outside of Lister (SUB, CAB etc) and so I would be constantly topping up my flex dollars and not get the full value out of my anytime dining fee. It also doesn't make much sense that someone who rarely eats at lister, and someone with a large appetite and eats much more will pay the same price for their food. Also I like how currently meal plan money stays with you throught the years if you don't spend it all, while anytime dining expires at the end of the year, even if you haven't gotten the full value out of the price. I don't like the idea of anytime dining. I eat many meals outside of Lister (SUB, CAB etc) and so I would be constantly topping up my flex dollars and not get the full value out of my anytime dining fee. It also doesn't make much sense that someone who rarely eats at lister, and someone with a large appetite and eats much more will pay the same price for their food. Also I like how currently meal plan money stays with you throught the years if you don't spend it all, while anytime dining expires at the end of the year, even if you haven't gotten the full value out of the price. Allow more than one pricing option and allow meals to be taken to rooms Please keep the same plan, there is nothing wrong with it. You are only making it even more expensive for students who don't come from Edmonton to come to this University and live in residence I don't think this meal plan should be mandatory for residents in the following years. I enjoy the meal plan options available for us this year, and from talking to past residents, I have been told that a majority of people do not use up all their meal plan money by the end of the year. Why would students want to spend even more money on a new meal plan, when they don't even finish using the money on their cheaper meal plans right now? From talking to students at other universities, the meal plan style we have right now (where students budget by themselves) is preferred because it gives students the freedom to buy as much as they need, and not feel like they're wasting their meal plan money when they go home for the weekend or eat off campus. Not limiting students to just getting food within Lister. The ability to get food while on campus is extremely convenient. It is unrealistic to expect almost 3,000 students to eat in one location every day, regardless of the take away option. Variety is key and options need to be there. Having the option to eat in the Marina or in SUB is important because sometimes you need to be able to get out of Lister. Do not implement this plan. It is a horrible idea and completely goes against the dining habits that almost all Lister residents use. The meal plan currently in place works well for almost all students, and with more vegetarian options and the same meal plans it would cover all Lister residents effectively. The problems and complaints with the cafeteria don't stem from the meal plan, they come from the horrible health and safety standards present. (Rotten spinach, metal wire in burgers, expired food, the list goes on) I am fully opposed to the proposed plan, it is absolutely ridiculous and unreasonable for the majority of students. I recommend letting the students have a choice in whether or not they want to participate even in a meal plan, and having multiple lower monetary options for a meal plan. I also recommend keeping the options of other places to eat on campus, as well as including other options like HUB that aren't on the current meal plan. I disagree completely with the proposed meal plan Just dont even. Keep it the way it is, i am perfectly content with the current meal plan but would not enjoy this new one, it sounds like an awful idea that nobody actually wants. Need to be able to get food in more places on campus included. Way too inconvenient. Way too expensive for the quality of food (you can say you'll improve the quality but I don't believe it for a second). Some days you also don't have time to set aside just for eating, students want to study with their food. If students with social anxiety also can't leave to eat, that can cause serious mental health issues so need to be able to leave the cat with food. The mar is a huge convenience to lister and it should not be gotten rid of. The franchises food is the only place I can count on getting quality food. Need to find a way to keep the mar a viable place. The plan is not feasible and it really inconveniences the lister residents. If you are going to incorporate this plan, decrease the base plan and increases the flex account amount. Don't do it. Don't do it for Lister, people are already thinking of not living in Lister due to the meal plan. Or go along with it but make it cheaper. I don't think it's a good idea to only allow students to be able to eat in the cafeteria/Peter Lougheed Centre. When students are in classes, they should be able to eat at other places on campus, with the ability to use their full meal plan, not just a few hundred "Flex Dollars" to be used for the entire year. What I've found in my three years of having lived in Lister and having to pay for a meal plan is that having the ability to eat at various places on campus leads to more variety and convenience. While having a "boxed meal" for students to take around with them seems like an interesting concept, it also raises the concern that not every place on campus has a microwave for students to warm up their food, as opposed to students being able to stop somewhere on the way to class or between classes and be able to have a hot meal. Do not take away options I think it is a very bad ifea The plan is expensive. I don't think people are going to get better value with the proposed plan. Perhaps give the option to use the current plan or upgrade to the all you can eat plan. I think the current plan is better. Yes, instead of changing the format, keep a tighter eye on food quality and try and improve that before changing the system. I feel that it's not a good idea I recommend having more than one option for those of us who are paying more and cannot possibly eat our money's worth of food. Options from SUB and CAB are convenient with a busy schedule that may not allow us to come back and have sit down meal at Lister, as well as different options apart from Listers food options. Keep the meal plan the same as this year. Stay with the current model! This model is not in the best interests of students Why is your price so high? When I went to Dalhousie university I got the unlimited meal plan for \$3,775, but you plan on selling yours for \$5,000? I think you need to switch your system from a debit-card like one to a pay by the meal one, not just for the anytime eating option. I say this because I chose to get the \$3,873 meal plan option because I don't have a huge appetite and go home on long weekends, and assumed it would be similar-ish to the \$3,775 option at Dal for the amount of food i'm getting. Then I did the math. \$3,873 a year works out to be \$1,936.50 a semester, which I thought "sweet almost 2k for food!" but boy was i wrong. That value divided by the number of days I spend on campus a semester (I go home for thanksgiving and reading week) works out to about \$19.75 a day. Do you see an issue there? You expect us to be able to eat 3 healthy meals a day on less than \$20. Remember that your drinks are around the \$2.25 range, and a meal can be anywhere from \$4 (a grilled cheese) to over \$10 (a chicken wrap and french fries). And this isn't even the healthy food upstairs, this is from the fast-food like mar option. What i'm trying to get at here is that although you like to boast about offering students a healthy option for food, they just cant afford it. So we end up skipping meals, sometimes more than one each day because its just too much. So by charging a student a grand more for a plan that should already provide about the same thing is a bit of a money grab from people who you say you are looking out for. The all you can eat meal plan is a great idea, charging students by the meal not by the value on their tray. But asking them to pay \$5,000 for a luxury that should be a standard in your meal plan options isn't right. I propose you do add an all you can eat meal plan, but reevaluate the charge you will make to students, as well as add the charge by meal not value to the other plan options. This will not only increase the value of the students meal plan, it will allow you to stick by your words when you say "it's about the students." Scrap the whole idea Don't propose it. Reopen cafes in sub, cab, and ed so it is more accessible. Please don't put in this new plan, the plans we have now is better because not everyone wants to eat in the cafe all the time and not everyone wants an "all you can eat" style. Don't do it I would recommend not following through with it, or charging less if you do follow through with it. The amount of money that you are expecting people to pay is ridiculous. I am an upper year living in Lister Centre right now and I feel it is important that I speak out against the new meal plan proposed for next year. I think the meal plan will have an extremely negative effect on future residents as well as prevent Lister Centre to be considered as a viable and feasible option for first year students to live in. This is my second year living in Lister and while it is an amazing place with wonderful opportunities this new proposed meal plan will be too expensive for new students to even consider living here and they would certainly miss out on an experience of a life time. The meal plan is too expensive for what is being proposed. While agree the "all-you-can-eat" idea could be a positive opportunity, it needs to be cheaper and it needs to allow usage on other places on campus. Having the meal plan as it is where students can use their meal plan at SUB and other places on campus allows for more variety and some more healthier alternatives than that of the Lister Garden Market. Students need to have options that reflect healthier choices. Especially when the Lister Garden Market has had so many issues in the past year with getting people sick with food poisoning I worry that this use of the meal plan without making the cafeteria improve will a health risk for the future students. It may have not been reported in the proper channels it needed to of these reports of food poisoning but it was happening. I know last year at one point 5 different people on my floor alone had food poisoning from the cafeteria and were afraid to eat from there and used the options on campus as an alternative. Students need options! Also there is the fact that as a students it would be really inconvenient if you have class all day on campus like most students do, and having to have to come all the way back to Lister just to get lunch especially when some students have classes so close together they would'n't have time to eat during the day at all. Thank you so much for your time and I really hope you take into consideration the issues I have outlined above. These are the important issues that I see, my first years on my floor see and what future residents will see when they have to live in a place with such limited options. Allow students to use their meal plan at the same locations on campus where they can currently use it I think it is a very bad idea for many reasons. It is too expensive, you don't get any of your money back it you don't use it all, I don't eat a lot so I'm at a disadvantage, I really like the mar food and spend way more than 300 there, I like to bring the food back to my room to eat, etc. Don't do it. Currently, most students aren't using up their meal plans and will just be losing money they don't need to lose. Lister is expensive enough to live in, and getting locked down to eating in one place (note with greater restrictions) would plummet student experience. I already avoid eating at the Caf due to its expense (which is not solved by making the plan MORE expensive) and the general food quality. At least with the current plan I can go to SUB and get something better than currently provided. I eat a decent amount around campus and I'm currently at \$1500 on the LOWER plan. There's no way I'm going use all of it and do plan on it carrying over or getting it reimbursed, not have money I didn't use funneled back into an inferior system. Money I didn't use is MY money, not Aramark/the university's... Don't do it. It's good for students to learn how to budget. Rather than not allowing SUB to be included in mealplan, you should extend mealplan to work at every restaurant on campus because students don't spend the day in residence, we are in classes and therefore to be convenient for us would be to have meals that we can buy with our mealplan that are closer to our classes rather than farther. Lister is not centrally located and is not convenient to come back to until the end of the day therefore every lunch we need to buy food close to our classes, especially if our schedules are tight. One good thing in the presentation is the proposition for longer hours as some students have a lab once a week until 9 then don't have the option to eat in the cafeteria. Don't do it Recommend that this proposed plan should not go through Keep the current meal plan Don't do it. Lower the price. Make it transferable/all flex money. Having more than one price range for it, because this adds another \$500 to the already most expensive meal plan I think that the meal plan money should still work at other dining places on campus rather than just in Lister, as it is really inconvenient to have to upload one card cash to eat other places on campus. This year I enjoyed being able to use my meal plan at other locations as it was easier in between classes than walking back to Lister just to grab something to eat for lunch. The meal plan dollars could still work the same way for places outside of lister but the changes could apply to the caf. More flex dollars in proportion the the dining hall cost. But don't increase the overall cost of the meal plan. Reduce the overall cost of the proposed meal plan. it's really not a good idea. I don't eat very much and don't want to be forced to pay much more than necessary. It also screws us over for when we want to spend money off campus, but feel obligated to eat in the same place to use the meal plan I would recommend that the proposed "anytime dining" plan NOT be put into effect. I do not believe this meal plan will be very helpful as I spend more money around campus than just in lister \*More flex dollars and don't force people to eat in the cafeteria - some people don't like that environment \*Extend cafeteria hours more or make Anytime Dining available in the Marina - some people leave Lister earlier than 7:30 Lower the price (\$5000 is too much), we wouldn't run out of money if your prices on food weren't so high. Allow people to spend their money in or out of Lister as they wish without the restriction (the \$300 cap). Just don't do it Find alternative that is not a all-you-can eat plan Include choice for students to eat outside of residence (as is already available) Lower costs - Ensure that value in food is not contingent on a monopoly for a single company Please don't do it I don't think it should be this expensive. I think it should also just not be the caf. I think the meal plan right now is much better than what you are proposing. Many people cannot afford 5000 dollar meal plan, now students have the option to choose between an expensive and less expensive one. Has to do with above question, but I would like for it it to only be deducted when I go to eat not a fixed price everyday. I am not in support of this proposed plan, despite having reviewed the slides from the presentation, due to the fact that it would be unfair to charge the same amount of money for each student without consideration of the fact that the food consumption rates may differ widely between each student. While the proposed plan may be advantageous for students who eat a large majority/all of their meals at Lister, it would come at a disadvantage (and money loss) for students who are light eaters/eat less meals at Lister/prefer to cook/etc. While the option of adding Flex Dollars to one's ONECard remains an option, it would be unfair to students who prefer to eat their meals at the variety of on campus dining locations, seeing as they would have to pay for the Lister meal plan (which they might not use/use less) in addition to the Flex Dollars at the locations they actually purchase food from. The problem I see is that as someone with a smaller appitite who is eating less will have to pay more than I do this year. The current plan works for me and with this new plan I will have to pay more money for the same amount of food as I will be eating this year. This plan is not economically friendly to students and benefits Aramark far more than anyone else which is a dangerous precedent. A plan like this, if passed, cannot be mandatory as the lister food plan is now. It is probibitorily expensive. Not really I think this is an awful plan in general I highly dislike it I don't think it's a fair plan for those who would not eat \$5000 worth of food. I am on the light meal plan worth \$3873 and so far I'm on pace to have money leftover at the end of the year. It is unfair to ask everyone to pay for an "all you can eat" meal plan when not all students would take advantage of that. I won't be in Lister next year regardless but if I were a first year looking to apply for residence this meal plan would stop me from applying. I am just scraping by financially and this is too expensive. I also don't approve of the limitations on location purchases because a lot of students buy food outside of the cafeteria hours and \$300 wouldn't be close to enough money for the year. I only eat one meal a day in the cafeteria because my classes are on the other side of the campus. I do not think this proposed meal plan should be implemented. Don't do it. Great Improvement 5000 dollars a year is not bad. More variations and more quantity of products. I don't think this proposal is a smart choice. Lister students are already having a hard time making healthy choices and saving money. Having this type of meal plan would promote the overconsumption of food which would lead to students gaining weight. Also, I spend long days on campus for classes and do not have the time to come back to Lister to get food. The flex amount is not enough to eat lunch/snacks on campus as much as I do. It is not fair to have someone pay almost \$5000 for the meal plan when that person is NOT going to eat that much food. Also, the cafeteria and marina do not provide enough choices for vegetarians or individuals with dietary restrictions. They are choices. But there is not even close to enough choices to make spending \$5000 worth it. I have friends who have to go buy and prepare their own meals because the choices are so limited. Could this be offered as a third meal plan option in some way? Obviously there are logistics problems but is there a way to make it work? Don't implement it Do not enact this new meal plan! Allow meal plan money to be used for more than just the lister cafeteria. Restricting student meals this way creates more stress due to less access to food on campus. It is also ridiculous to try and force students to spend the entirety of their meal plan during the year (assuming the prices for all you can eat will be higher than they currently are, which is unfair to students who do not eat as much as others but still have to waste so much money on top of tuition and residence causing more issues for students who have financial issues). Furthermore cafeteria food is notorious for not being of good quality as students have seen employees drop food on the floor and serve it as well as the food has led people to become sick in some cases, this reputation is not encouraging of the plan you are trying to implement and does not comfort students when you say the quality will change. DO NOT IMPLEMENT NEW PLAN, STICK WITH CURRENT MEAL PLAN (2016-2017) Please include a declining balance option for light eaters and students who prefer to cook. When students are cooking, they can save a huge deal than eating food that is cooked by restaurants. There are two mean reasons that students live in Lister would cook: first, they can cook foods that are not offered by restaurants that accept meal plan; second, cooking can save a great deal than totally relying on meal plan. If you could reduce the cost of the meal plan for some by limiting the hours it would be greatly appreciated. Right now I'm eating approximately \$4273 worth of food per term if I continue the way I am and it seems absurd to pay an additional \$700. By only allowing us in the caf for designated lunch and dinner hours you could reduce our cost.

## Please provide any additional feedback you have about the plan.

Currently with the limited space and large size of campus, this meal plan is way too inconvenient for students. An extra meal hall in Peter Lougheed is not convenient enough for students that do not have breaks or have class in the other corners of campus (Engg quad & ED buildings). This plan is not affordable for all students. Personally, if this plan was the plan in my first year, I would not have been able to afford to live in Lister and would have to live in private residence. The addition of flex dollars/inhibition to choose where you want to spend your money is extremely inconvenient for any student that studies on campus, has little to no breaks, or has class in the opposite corners. THE MEAL PLAN SHOULD WORK AROUND THE STUDENTS, THE STUDENTS SHOULD NOT HAVE TO WORK AROUND THEIR MEAL PLAN!

I think this meal plan is another money grab. I've lived here for a year and a half and I think the current meal plan will suffice. This anytime dining only works if you are in lister. This is claiming more variety and flexibility but the truth is it isn't. There might be a few more options at lister but everything else outside of lister is out of the picture. I know that the flex dollars exist but that is basically you just adding one card cash. I have talked to many residents and former residents and the current meal plans are already way too much. There are so many residents that have extra money left over from the previous meal plan. This wouldn't be better for lister as it will deter lots of students. If the highest meal plan for this year was given out to all the students, there would be a surplus in the majority of people's meal plan by the end of the year. With this meal plan, basically no one has to cook but there are alot of people who love cooling their own meals but wont have that ability anymore to do so because the amount of money this meal plan is taking, wont leave them enough money to get their own groceries. Like I said in the beginning, this is just another money grab to keep the money within lister and taking it out of the buisnesses outside of lister.

Residents should be able to use their money on campus.

Anytime Dining does not provide any proper option for those students that choose to eat very little and have chosen the smallest meal plan option in the past. The cost is also non-refundable, which means that students that choose to cook for themselves can no longer get their meal plan money back after they finish their stay at UofA. I personally never spend my whole meal plan money in a given year and was always glad that the balance carried over to the next year (or summer). With the new proposed meal plan, cost for students will rise to a level that is no longer affordable for a large amount of students

This is a terrible idea! Especially for students who can barely afford residence at this time. A meal plan, while many do not use, is mandatory in lister and an increase in price will further cripple the already debilitating on campus living costs.

I like the idea of pop-up dessert, because there is no good dessert in Lister right now.

I dont like how there is one fixed cost. I like having the two level options to choose from.

I do agree with the this proposal except for the points which I outline above. Also why are you asking for feedback right now when people are very busy with mid-terms? This should done until November sometime when people may have more time to respond.

Don't think you can treat us like you treat prisoners.

Whoever came up with it needs to be fired. Whoever signed a contract with Aramark needs to be fired.

it is too expensive to add in to the really expensive tuition fees that we students have. also consider the fact that most of us don't have the time to get a job while we have school because it is just too much for us. people can barely afford the meal plan now and you're planning on raising it? people always complain about how the mar is really expensive, because it is, it's prices are twice as much as it's asked for in stores there really shouldn't be a reason why it is priced that way.

I feel like the university thinks this is a good idea because a similar system is in place with St. Joe's. But lister is not the same, there are way more students to feed and it's no where near as convienient to come back to grab food mid day. Plus I've gone for a lunch at St. Joe's they have cooks that know most of the residents' names, and actually care about the food they prepare, unlike the staff I've experienced in lister.

As someone that suffers from celiac disease I can truly say that even the meal plans this year are horrible. Because of this disease the only meal i can eat and somewhat believe that i will not be poisoned, because that is what gluten is to my body is poison, in the gluten free pasta in the caf. even at this point i do not trust those that work in the caf to ensure my safety. this new meal plan would severly restrict not only those with celiac but also those that are vegan, vegetarian, etc. Food should be something that we can all have, not something that drains our bank accounts and potentially affects us physically.

It is very expensive, we should be able to spend our money on other places other than the cafeteria due to the fact that the cafeteria food is not good and has not changed or improved since last year. Also there is very few options in the cafe even if you are increasing the options it is still not enough. Buffets previously have been terrible as they have ran out of food loads of times. Even though it has worked in smaller residences this one is different due to the large amount of people living here. You all even run out of sandwiches when it reaches dinner time so so far I am not convinced that you all will even provide enough food. Every time we have suggestions all I hear from the bosses of aramark is that they will talk about it, but nothing ever changes so I hope they actually take these surveys into consideration.

Too expensive. It will lead to students choosing to reside outside of lister

Don't do it

Not everyone will be able to handle the capacity of "all you can eat" all year round, nor have the funds to provide for it too. If this were to be implemented, it would likely mean I wouldn't return to Lister even more than after my second year here.

Please don't put this in place. It's an awful idea. Please listen to our opinions and keep the meal plan the same.

Honestly this whole idea doesn't work especially during the middle of the semester where work load is huge many students spend entire days and nights on campus trying to complete all their assignments and study for exams they simply do not have the time to come back to Lister to grab dinner. That's what makes the current meal plan so simple if we are studying on campus we can grab a quick bite from the many offerings that the campus has to offer and simply get back to our work.

This proposed idea is honestly one of the stupidest ideas I have ever heard. It is absurdly expensive for already indebted students and extremely restricts the location and ease at which students can eat. Being able to use meal plan money on campus is a huge relief for students who spend upwards of 12hrs a day on campus and taking that away is a colossal inconvenience, especially when considering the cost of the new plan. Additionally many people prefer to cook their own meals and by making a MANDATORY AND EXPENSIVE plan they won't be able to afford or justify making their own meals and will be forced to use the cafeteria. And there is no person alive who would want to eat only from the caf for 8 straight months, it would get way too repetitive and quite frankly it isn't the best food (especially in regards to health).

In my opinion this is a sickening attempt by the university to steal as much money as possible from residents under the guise of trying to do what is best for them. This is NOT a viable option as a meal plan to anyone who is not on the receiving end of the \$5000 cheque that students will be forced to hand over. It would be nice for ualberta residence to consider the living conditions for the people living in their residence rather than just treating them as a their own personal piggy bank. I would be absolutely disgusted with U of A if this proposed plan goes ahead despite the very audible protests of the residents who it will actually affect. Please no. A thousand times no.

I don't think it's a good idea to have restrictions on where meal plan money is usable. Being able to buy food on campus allows students more options than just the cafeteria, especially if the cafeteria doesn't change options up regularly. Also it allows students to grab food if they're studying on campus instead of coming back for a meal which is inconvinient. The cost of the new meal plan is also a large factor for a lot of students, for myself personally, the cost is a large turn-off to the point where I'd rather buy and make my own food.

A lot of us choose to cook most of our meals and don't want to spend more than the necessary amount of money. Even now, I know I will at least have a few hundred dollars to spare by the end of the year with a level 2 meal plan.

It will deter students from living in lister as its just too expensive

Cut the prices of food in Lister, raise the quality, allow better access to students with dietary restrictions. We are students. We don't have a lot of money, we spend our life on campus not the Lister dining center, we like a variety of food, we like grocery shopping without having to feel bad about the \$5000 we shelled out for a pathetic excuse for an all you can eat meal plan.

If it was really about the students, there wouldn't be a meal plan, but we all know that's really not all this is about.

If you look at the price for groceries, and the price of good home cooked meals it doesn't even approach \$5000 a year. Why are students paying more for way less? Maybe because the university and Aramark want to line their pockets.

I will personally go out of my way to write bad reviews, and personally convince upcoming students that Lister is a terrible idea as a residence if this goes through. I have plenty of connections in high schools across Edmonton, and I will make it my goal to bankrupt this idea. I will be doing this out of recommendation for their quality of life in their upcoming years of education. Because school is already tough enough without adding a wasted burden of \$5000, which rivals many students tuition costs in first year.

Its ridiculous to charge students with little to no money \$5000 for shit food. This is why universities are now becoming less prestigious, they're all provit driven without any motivation to actually help kids succeed. Its all statistics and dollar signs for here on out. The current meal plan system is fine, focus on food quality first before making changes to the system

I strongly disagree with basically everything on this plan. I spend \$10 in a day, I refuse to pay \$10 a meal in order to support people who eat more than me.

students should be allowed to bring meals outside the dining hall. This would save on disposable containers, as the current system now allows us to bring plates up to our floor - some may be more comfortable on their floor rather than in the dining hall.

This plan is a bad one one. I have an interest because I have siblings that will live in lister but they will not if it will force their eating habit to conform to an expensive, unhealthy meal plan

The increase in cost for the new meal plan is not worth the "convenience" and quality of the food. I prefer to have more option to be able to buy food from vairous locations on campus instead of being restricted to only going to the cafeteria. I do not want the meal plan to he changed. I prefer a cheaper cost and choice at where to get food from.

This is a ridiculous proposal. This type of meal plan caters to smaller residences but the 4000 students that all have class at 9am will need to prepare their lunches at 5 am so they don't have to wait in line for 2 hours. Additionally, I agree that lister food is not up to par br forcing students into a meal plan already drains their funds and it is not a nutritious food source. The salad bar is often poorly managed, fruit is limited and it is a guessing game if you will get food poisoning or not. This is not a solution to the problem this is a monopoly limiting students to resources. Aramark does not cater to any allergies or religious exceptions. Students will loose money and quality.

Leave it the way it is. You don't need more money. It's suppose to be a non-profit organization. Stop trying to force students out of living in residence. It's not affordable. The food is terrible. And the idea is completely unreasonable. Please listen to students and do not implement this.

This is absurd, glad I am not coming back to lister

I don't like the added amount of money we would have to pay, we should not be forced to pay that much money for a meal plan. The way it is now, everyone has their own decision on how much they want to spend each day, or throughout the year. Some people eat less, and won't want to pay that much, when they won't eat that much, and could pay much less, as how it currently is. Many people, myself included, enjoy the options around campus, and with that freedom taken away and having to pay extra to eat somewhere that isn't Aramark, will make many people upset, myself included.

We need more vegan options

Please please do not put it through. It is not a good fit for Lister. Students cannot afford spending \$5000 on a meal plan that they can only use at 2 locations on campus. They will not get the variety they need and it is not a good idea. The only reason I came back to lister was because it didnt change last year, but if it changed I would not have come back because it is a terrible idea.

Mandating that all students pay more money regardless of how much they eat is foolish. There are those who currently don't even eat the recommended dollar amount, whether due to external grocery shopping and cooking, or simply smaller appetites. The proposition of mandatory dining hall eating is also unnecessary. It is significantly more practical for some to eat in their room. This will also effectively destroy the use of the cafeteria as a quiet study space, and be embarrassing for those without existing friend networks, or have them wait until their friend groups are out of class, rather than eating when hungry. I personally also resent the loss of ability to spend meal plan dollars at participating external campus restaurants such as Edo or Subway. I do not wish to have to find one of two locations and eat a sit-down buffet-style meal, especially between classes which is simply impractical.

The new meal plan proposal is not only unnecessarily expensive, it is also unnecessary inconvenient. I have the smallest meal plan currently available and I am still well ahead of the budget for it.this is inconvenient because I spend all day from 8am till 2pm out side of lister because I have back to back classes all day. There is no time to pack a lunch. I am frequently using other food sources on campus that will not be fully accessible to my meal plan but will be limited to 300 dollars. I understand that you can get more money for it but why would I want to spend more money on something I'm not going to get my full price out of anyways.

The meal plan probably shouldn't even exist as it is, but to not only continue to make it mandatory but to increase cost while reducing it's utility seems utterly senseless. With 5000\$ in my own accounts rather than a university meal plan I could more conveniently and affordably feed myself, the meal plan is simply an unnecessary inconvenience making independent living even more difficult than it already is.

Required more food quality control, duo to some stations provide really bad quality food with higher price

dpnt do it

As a person who has chosen the cheaper option of the meal plan and is actually underspending (I buy all my meals using my onecard), paying almost 5k is too much. I definitely would not eat that much and it would be too expensive to pay for residence alongside the meal plan. Working hours should be more flexible, especially on the weekends. It currently opens at around 11am which is quite late because I tend to wake up early even on the weekends. Also being unable to bring meals back to my room would be inconvenient because often there are times where you would like to just eat from the comfort of your room.

This honestly is one of the worst things that I have heard of. I hate that the meal plan costs so much already, in fact it makes me feel guilty buying groceries because it costs so much and I won't use it all, but to limit the food to one place, where you can't even take it back to your room is awful. The caf is a great study spot and I feel like you are taking away the opportunity to study there by making it Mandatory that people consume their food there. I was considering becoming an RA next year but if this meal plan is put into place, then I will not be coming back to lister, so please have made your decision by the time that applications are due.

As a previous Lister student (3rd floor Henday during the 2015-2016 year) I have already experienced the detrimental effects of the Lister meal plan that is already in place. Now that this year more restaurants on campus are offering onecard payment students are able to choose more and eat healthier. Lister food was already terribly unhealthy and unbelieveably expensive last year. To not only increase the cost on something that shouldn't be mandatory in the first place and to limit options especially when the response of last year's survey was overwhelmingly negative I believe that it is in no way beneficial to the students financially or health wise.

I love the idea, but I'm not sold 100% on the idea of it being 100% collectivized.

The new meal plan is just a cash grab. The majority of my meal plan money was not spent in the cafe when I lived in lister. It was spent on campus at aramark vendors or in the marina. Even so, I purchased the medium meal plan and had more than \$800 left over. I did cook for myself on occasion which explains the money left over but with having the money left over I am able to justify the option of cooking for myself. Without this I would have never cooked for myself which is something I quite enjoy and adds variety to my options for eating in residence. As well eliminating the option to take meals back to my room is an awful idea. Countless times I would run down to the cafe or marina and grab a quick dinner that I could take back and eat while studying. If I had to plan for time to eat in the cafe, I would've probably skipped dinner in the cafe and eaten something like a granola bar. This does not promote a healthy well balanced diet. As well the cafe is a loud place during meal times and would become even louder if everyone had to eat in the cafe so studying while eating is crossed out. The new proposed amount puts myself a very petite girl who doesn't need to eat a ton on the same ground as an athletic male who can eat 3 times the amount I can in one sitting. How is that fair in any view? How I see it, is I would be paying for someone else's share of food rather than just my own. As well, \$300 flex dollars will not go far at all... especially if there is not many options to take for lunch from the lister cafe. By being able to buy from places in sub and hub, it added more variety for lunches. If a student in residence were to start buying lunch every other day, it would maybe last them 3 months. This adds additional food expenses, making the meal plan total over \$5000 which is utterly ridiculous. For the meal plan to almost double what a student pays for a double room in lister.

If you must continue with the forced meal plan, please at least allow refunds at the end of the semester/year, so those who don't want to eat only cafeteria food aren't punished for living in residence.

Leave my meal plan alone please I love Edo. The Flex money is such a small amount that i would literally spend it in a week and a half, also I do not eat enough to justify spending that much money. Please do not do this.

This would not be good plan as students mostly eat in other places on campus because of classes. For that price, the limit on where people are able to eat is just very unfair

I am a second year student and a first year RA, during my last years I have gotten in the habit of eating on campus. It is not fair to restrict students to eat at one location, and 300\$ to spend on campus is ridiculously low. My friends and I eat lunch together in sub everyday, eating every me and the mar or caf is repetitive and honestly very underwhelming. Too give my opinion I think this is wrong to implement, and as I am debating between coming back to lister as a student leader next year or moving into a house, it makes it an easy choice for me economically to leave lister

Biggest thing is the pricing. The current meal plan, as is, should not be this expensive, and even the lowest meal plan 2 years ago should not have been that expensive. If you truly want to give students a choice to pick what THEY want to eat, and how much they're eating, then put the money in their hands. Obviously you can have a minimum, and I strongly recommend you do (one around \$2000). However, to have one MINIMUM floor price at \$5000 is ludicrous, especially considering that only 6% of those funds are for the majority of options on campus.

Awful idea to approve this proposal.

This was introduced last year and immediately shut down by students. Does res services simply not listen to students, or do they just not care what they think?

I don't like the idea of anytime dining. I eat many meals outside of Lister (SUB, CAB etc) and so I would be constantly topping up my flex dollars and not get the full value out of my anytime dining fee. It also doesn't make much sense that someone who rarely eats at lister, and someone with a large appetite and eats much more will pay the same price for their food. Also I like how currently meal plan money stays with you throught the years if you don't spend it all, while anytime dining expires at the end of the year, even if you haven't gotten the full value out of the price.

I do not think this is sustainable for our residents. It's too expensive, and it limits options way too much. It's way more money than I'd spend if I was just buying groceries for the year, and my options would be significantly limited. Lister doesn't have enough options for those with dietary restrictions to survive on a plan like this, and not allowing meal plan to work on campus significantly hinders a student's life. I personally spend over 10 hours on campus most days. Having to come back to lister every time I needed to eat, or having to pack a cold box around, would be way too inconvenient and I'd likely end up just not eating which isn't healthy at all. This meal plan would mean students would not have a healthy or reliable diet and I am honestly very opposed to it

In addition, I don't think the \$300 flex dollars will be enough money for students to spend at eateries around campus. Personally, I eat on campus outside of Lister almost every other day, so more money should be allocated for other campus restaurants if this new meal plan idea passes.

This is unbelievably. It should not be passed. University costs enough already so adding this additional cost is just unethical. Some of us prefer to cook our own meals or have a small appetite so this extra fee is just a burden. Please don't let it go through!

I think this is an extremely expensive option. If this is to be the only choice for next year then meal plan should be optional. It's just not affordable for all students. There's no way a student who is using government loans to pay for everything themselves is going to be able to live in the Lister Hall community. I wouldn't want to take away the option of living in such an awesome community just because something like the meal plan is just flat out too expensive for them. That's unfair.

Changes like these that completely fly in the face of how things work at Lister make me question whether any of the people planning these proposals have ever even been to the residence. Res Services has been completely clueless with regards to Lister's culture, the habits and needs of it's residents, and what makes Lister a fun place to live. Before you make changes to the living space of thousands of people, maybe it would be a good idea to see what actually needs to be changed and what people want.

I would like to reiterate that I am fully opposed to this plan. The plan in question is very idealistic about the current situation that exists in Lister. There are a lot of problems with dining services now that need to be fixed instead of creating a plan that generates more problems on top of the already unorganized set up in Lister. This plan costs way too much for the little it promises, I would rather have no meal and save that extra \$5000 towards tuition instead of spending it and encouraging the mediocre food and service that Lister provides. I personally have an extreme distaste toward the proposed plan. Having classes all day, I do not want to be running back to Lister just to eat; the current meal plan provides convenience, especially when I will be starting clinical practice next year and will be leaving residence at early hours (5am) and know I will be pressed for time and won't want to stop and pick my food for the day. Further, eating only in the caf/Mar does not provide enough variety when I live on campus and rely on eating here. I am pretty sure I speak for many when I claim I do not want to be buying groceries on top of a more expensive meal plan than I have now just so to keep things interesting. As well, many students (myself included) use the cafeteria as a study place as we prefer background noise while studying. If the cafeteria is the only area where students' meal plans are spendable, it will be entirely crowded and studying there will no longer be an option. Really really really not a fan, and if this plan is approved I will seriously be reconsidering my living options for next year; I was originally planning to apply to become an RA or liaison, but this plan causes me to not want to live in Lister for my second year.

While I eat at the cafeteria for most suppers I spend the rest of my money elsewhere for breakfast lunch and snacks. The proposed 300\$ flex would not nearly cover this and would limit our choice on how we spend our money. In addition the one price for all is highly unfair as for those who eat little they pay a much higher price for the amount of food they are receiving. Please do not do this, keep everything the way it is. People will not enjoy this. Not feasible in a residence as big as lister. I recognize the problems the plan is trying to solve, I've talked about it extensively with my RC. However, this plan creates way more problems than it solves. Keep the plans the way they are, students aren't complaining and most students are happy with the plan they have. The response I've gotten from a vast majority of residents have been negative. This plan is not going to work well for students, students are already overpaying on tuition, as it increases, making food more expensive increases the financial burden on parents/students and increases the amount student have to take out for loans. This plan is not based on convenience, it's just a money grab by resident services and aramark, because they know most kids don't spend up to that much a year on food. My three years here, I've always bought the smallest plan and I know people who have too and they have never overspent or needed to top of their meal plan. Even more unaffordable for students The meal plan is extremely affordable, we are all broke university students. Additionally, not able to take food outside of the cafeteria defeats the purpose of "all you can eat". Limiting people to eat in the cafeteria will create a shortage of seats. The meal plan is very expensive, and it puts students who rely on loans and scholarships to pay for residence and tuition at a huge disadvantage. As it is, many students/families struggle to pay for residence fees and tuition, and increasing the price of the meal plan by a huge margin will definitely lower interest from both incoming residents and returning residents to live in Lister in the years to come. While the current meal plan has its problems, I feel like the changes being proposed for the all you care to eat meal plan is only going to hurt students and their families financially much more than the current plan already is. Also, the concept of the meal plan is interesting, but in reality, that concept only really works with smaller residences. With Lister being the largest residence in Canada, it is definitely not feasible to force people to only be able to eat at the cafeteria while still maintaining enough space for everyone and good food quality. The increased price also raises concern from those who are light eaters, people who enjoy cooking their own meals, and those who go home frequently. These people would not get as much value out of the meal plan, especially considering the increased price of the proposed meal plan. Stick to same plan Please leave meal plans the way they are, if people have \$5000 to spend they can in the current model There's a whole load of reasons and things I can say but here's the main thing - this plan may unknowingly serve to encourage an unhealthy lifestyle. Students are pressured to finish up \$5000 worth of food and some of us don't even eat that much. Food like milk, cheese, potatoes in almost every dish and so much meat don't seem like healthy options to me. This plan would be difficult for vegans. With the amount that we have to spend this could encourage stress eating as well. Please stick with the original meal plan and maybe even provide cheaper alternatives. I believe this plan is a huge downfall to living in Lister centre and I would like to see a less expensive option, as well as better quality of food. \$5000 is a lot for students and it would be better for them to chose how much they wan to pay for food with the different levels of payment as per this year. If this is to follow through for next year, it will force me to live off campus. This plan has absolutely nothing to do with increasing the well-being of residents. This entire plan was attempted at being implemented last year and was totally shut down by popular. I know many of my friends that don't even use their entire plan using the smallest increment. I don't see how forcing us to only eat at the caf, because let's be honest the 500\$ flex money is basically just putting 500\$ to one card cash, is really helping students at all considering the inconvenience of coming to the caf so often and always having to eat the same disgusting food that has slowly decreased in quality. I have had many friends and heard of many more others getting food poisoning from all you can eat buffets a caused by the mass production process. Also what about gluten intolerances or lactose intolerances? The hygiene practices aren't exactly one to be proud of in the caf( cases of moldy glasses, allergies being triggered from cross contamination). And yet after all of this it's still considered a better option for residents? That's total Insanity and everyone knows it. The money grab by residence services has been on an upswing for the longest time, as they are taking advantage of no student union group advocating for Lister residents. Lastly it totally destroys the option for kids to cook, which is why the old meal plan works, so when kids get tired of the repetitive meals at the caf and the bland flavours they can cook for themselves. Oh but now the plan is to never give residents a chance to redeem the money they don't spend from their meal plan when they graduate? Trying to lock in all the money possible from residents who are already struggling with student loans, and courses, let's put a ton of more stress on them by increasing the money they spend on food, and forcing where they spend it. So how about we actually rethink the meal plan, and maybe truly ask residents what they want, instead of saying that this is what they want and taking advantage of those who do not truly understand what is being implemented. So if residence services is truly about making a connected homebound atmosphere, let's allow students the freedom of buying caf food, and cooking themselves, and then maybe there wouldn't be so many vacancies every year. I truly hope that this is read and taken into consideration, because if this plan is implemented, there will be a lot of unhappy residents. Thanks for your time and consideration. I believe the "anytime dining" approach is overall just another money grab for students to fall into. The meal plan is a required fee accompanied with living within Lister, which in my mind is a part of why the meal plan choices have been raised in price compared to recent years (you have to buy it). The anytime dining approach also doesn't allow the reimbursement of left over funds which is definitely an issue because most people won't be using or even needing that amount of value in food while living in Lister (especially those with smaller statures or needs). The restriction of having money solely available for the "caf" also raises issues with food availability and freedom (loss of Mar, SUB, ect) which also ties in with a further money grab of requiring to add in more money to spend on other places than the caf. Overall from myself and others this meal plan isn't supported and isn't "helping" any future students coming into Lister. I've heard from a athletic boy with a good appetite that he the bigger plan was enough for him, and I, as someone with a big appetite, have around \$100 more than where I should be according to the schedule of the bigger plan. Although I the find the new price unnecessary high, it would be nice to have a larger selection of foods and meals. In addition, it would be nice to be able to use meal plan dollars in other places (such as CAB and SUB) regularly. Reduce the price. It is unnafordable Let us choose how much money we want to spend on a meal plan instead of having a set amount for everyone. There should be more surveys or research into what student really want from a meal plan, as this proposal doesn't reflect our needs and wants I do not think that this plan is a good idea. It is too expensive and it doesn't give options for people that won't eat that much food to add up to the amount you are charging. I personally live on campus and eat almost all my meals using my meal plan. I have the lowest cost option and I am right on track with the amount of money I should be spending, so I'm not sure who the light eaters are that you have researched. I really don't see how this will create an improvement in the dining hall. I also think that the meal plan should be applicable in the Marina, because often there isn't enough variety in the cafeteria to satisfy everyone. I think that you are robbing people of money by charging them a set amount, and that it would be better if you continued with the current meal plan. \*INSERT MY LONGEST NAAH BOOI EVERY\* IM ALREADY BROKE ENOUGH BOI PLS CHILL YA GNNA MAKING ME HOMELESS WITH A MEAL PLAN SMPH Don't do it. i thinks its a bad idea, its not affordable, not inclusive and i have not come across one person who wants this to happen. It is very pricey for us students... also considering it's almost \$5000 I would like to be able to use however much i want in the Mar and other places on campus that currently take one card such as subway, edo, etc... not just \$300 of "flex dollars" but having longer hours and more variety with different stations would be great because the current choices get boring very fast. I don't eat enough to use up what 3500\$ could buy so why would I spend more to get the same amount? We should only pay for what we eat. Most students don't even use up their whole mealplan because we just don't eat that much. Also a lot of students will go to a nearby safety or Walmart because it's more convenient to buy fruit and snacks such as cookies and chips there then store in our rooms because we can get the kinds we like rather than the fruit and snack here which don't have as much variety and are much more expensive. Going out for some groceries not only allows us to feel like we are independent and can make our own choices, but it's also a familiar routine that we often did with our families before moving away and will continue to do once we move on from university. Way too expensive Should include the Marina Should include Sub and Starbucks will not survive without my nightly ice cream from the marina Feels like I may be scamed I cant afford it I think this plan will only serve to put students even further into debt, and should not happen Simply not affordable, and they should at least able to spend this money on campus as well Longer hours still, lower prices This is ridiculous please don't do this I like the idea of not waiting in line and being able to get boxed food to be on the go, but the amount of money you would spend for what seems like only a little mroe of options is ridiculous. I like the idea of adding more stations and rotating stations because eating the same thing everyday can get old really fast. I think it is important to make sure the meal plan still works at places other than lister to make it easier for students. It is a lot more expensive than I would like. I am currently on the smaller meal plan and I am not over budget so I feel that I wouldn't get my money's worth of food from the proposed mean plan please don't do it As someone who consistently has leftover funds in their meal plan account and eats the majority of their meals outside of the cafeteria (due to the limited options, mediocre quality of the food, and the high number of food-related health concerns from my first year), having

returning to Lister. I do not think this will be effective

I do not think this is a good plan because it takes away the convenience of eating on campus. I would not want to have to walk back to lister in between classes just to have lunch. It also takes away all of the options that work on our meal plan right now. Also I eat at the marina more than the cafeteria so would not want that as a part of our meal plan to go away. Not to meantion there is no way I eat 5000\$ worth of food in 8 months.

to pay nearly \$5000 for food I do not want to eat with no other options as to where to spend my money would definitely stop me from

I do not support the "Anytime Dining" Proposal because university is already quite a financial commitment, and this only increases the amount of fees students would owe.

In case it wasn't clear enough, this plan is a bad idea and just forces students - who most are already struggling to make payments - pay more.

I strongly dislike this meal plan. You tried to propose it last year and we all disagreed, so why would you propose it again without making any adjustments to the plan? It is just showing your lack of interest or care in the preferences of the students, and making your interests in money prominent. While more options would be greatly appreciated, it doesn't make sense to have such an expensive price, be mandatory. We as students are already struggling to pay for tuition & actual residence fees; especially if we are away from our home province, or our country. We should have the option to pay for a very, very small meal plan (\$1000) if we want to cook our own meals, or if we don't we can choose the larger option. \$5000 is too much. You say it's like this so we don't have to top up our meal plans, but if you didn't inflate prices so much, we wouldn't have to in the first place. Again, I vote against this meal plan and would greatly appreciate it if you saw what I'm saying and consider it.

Please don't

I don't think this meal plan should go through

I am not in support of this proposed plan, despite having reviewed the slides from the presentation, due to the fact that it would be unfair to charge the same amount of money for each student without consideration of the fact that the food consumption rates may differ widely between each student. While the proposed plan may be advantageous for students who eat a large majority/all of their meals at Lister, it would come at a disadvantage (and money loss) for students who are light eaters/eat less meals at Lister/prefer to cook/etc. While the option of adding Flex Dollars to one's ONECard remains an option, it would be unfair to students who prefer to eat their meals at the variety of on campus dining locations, seeing as they would have to pay for the Lister meal plan (which they might not use/use less) in addition to the Flex Dollars at the locations they actually purchase food from.

The pricing seems like an issue to me as I will pay more than I currently do.

As a past lister resident of three years I think this plan is a terrible idea. As someone who has dietary restrictions, the flexibility of being able to use my money outside of lister is the only thing that made it even close to worthwhile (and I spent so little of it that I'm still using the leftovers in my fourth year). This plan would not allow me to do that and I would have lost that money. Furthermore, the cost is enormous. lister needs a meal plan that is an accessible price, since I was in my first year the cheapest meal plan option, which best suited my needs, has been taken away, making residence a far more expensive proposition for me. A plan like this, if it is pushed through (which I urge against) cannot be mandatory or you will be prohibiting the experience in lister that lower income and dietarily restricted students like myself were able to have. There are better solutions than this.

I DO NOT LIKE THIS PLAN AND STRONGLY AGAINT IT. I've talked to all my friends about it and pretty much all the people I've talked to feel the same way. I do feel like listing reasons why because I know the residence services know it better than anyone else. Anyways it does not benefit the general student group and this plan will give rise to a lot of issues. (food quality, waste of resources...) I'm a nursing student which means i won't even be in lister half of the time does that mean my 5 grand just goes to waste ?? Or simply for dinner only? Not to mention the caf food is, to say in the nicest way possible, unenjoyable. If the plan does go through I'm just going to literally get 5, 6 plates of food at once and meal prep for the next day. I imagine a lot of people will do that as well. Honestly I prefer to keep it the same way but I mean it's ultimately up to you guys

It's not inclusive

I don't like it, it has less varieties on what we can eat. Also i prefer food from my country more than western food.

The food here is already terrible, don't make people pay more money for something that is constantly and consistently bad.

I hope you guys are making sure that new changes will be more then excellent compare to this year. Price is the most important Aspect that I have noticed. Lets Say I ordered a small piece of chicken Breast. It cost me 4 dollars. You Guys do realised that If I get a Full Grilled Chicken From Sobeys it Costs me around 9.99 dollars. See the difference? I was surprised to see the Monopoly working freely in the University of Alberta. So I hope its Worth it.

I'm not convinced that the new meal plan will benefit the students because:

1) paying for the item we want to eat shows the University in an easily documentable way what food the students like and it gives the University incentive to offer more of what we like. If we like it, we buy it and you want us to buy it so you make sure we like it. If the food has already been paid for, we'll eat it just because it's free, whether it's good or not so the university doesn't have to uphold as high of a standard.

2) if the average student adds \$448 to their meal plan, the average student on the current small meal plan will have to pay more overall. The average student on the large meal plan will have to pay less overall but as a student on budget with the small meal plan, I don't want to pay more because others eat a lot. This meal plan holds people accountable for what THEY eat. It also reduces overeating to an extent, if you want to come at it from the health side.

3) it prevents people being able to bring food to their rooms or to their classes and eat. I understand that the university wants to promote a community of people eating in the car but I, for one, love that I can take my food to my floor and eat with my friends whether or not they are eating. I love that I can take caf food into my night lecture.

Every answer for the frequently asked questions page was insufficient. I'll mention a few for example:

the idea that you can still spend flex dollars elsewhere on campus is ridiculous considering there's only \$300. It would get used up so fast because lister residents love eating at SUB and CAB and not to mention the mar.

Taking boxed lunches or walking back to lister for lunch is not going to be pleasing for students. The thought of boxed lunches doesn't sound very appealing and will not go over well.

The idea that you can still buy groceries and cook for yourself or go out for the odd meal elsewhere is ignorant. People want freedom to spend money how they want and to choose where to eat themselves, but when they're spending close to \$5000 already on this proposed meal plan, most students won't be able to afford eating elsewhere.

I could go on about multiple other topics brought up, but in general this is an insufficient proposal and I don't think it would increase student satisfaction at all. I had been considering returning to lister for a 3rd year, but if this plan goes through I know that for sure I will not be coming back simply from a cost and a convenience perspective.

This meal plan is unrealistic for many students that live on campus. Having to return to Lister for every meal is a major inconvenience. I feel this new meal plan will not increase the variety of food choice we have but instead decrease them as we are not able to spend the money in different locations such as the Marina or Student Union Building. Also the proposed hours for the cafeteria are not aligned with many university students sleep schedules. Almost every night many other student I know and I rush to the Marina to eat before it closes at 12pm. Also the higher price would restrict me financially and as a self supporting student, I would not be able to afford the new meal plan price. As of currently, I have over \$100 extra money compared to the approximate budget guideline. I am struggling to eat enough to keep up with the smallest meal plan and would not be able to eat enough almost \$2000 dollars more. Therefore I feel this meal plan would be wasteful for many students such as myself. If this new meal plan is passed I will not be returning to Lister next year.

This meal plan is grossly restrictive to students and honestly seems more corporately driven as opposed to trying to make living away from home more comfortable for students. Not all students in lister (like myself) buy from the cafeteria for every meal and choose to cook for themselves. These students only use their meal plan for the occasional mar run so it is incredibly unfair to make them pay so much money and be expected to spend it all when it could be used for other payments. As much as I do recognize the need for students to spend their money at places run by Aramark, it is unreasonable to ask for them to pay this much and be so restrictive, especially when meals already have high prices and the cafeteria does not have a good enough reputation among residents to demand this much. Overall the meal plan is unrealistic and needs to be catered more towards students, knowing many of them stress over affording current residence fees and meal plan charges on top of tuition, rather than to a corporation such as aramark.

The new plan is not at all suited to the needs of students. Being unable to use the meal plan money for dining at other locations on campus is extremely inconvenient. Almost all students spend a fair chunk of their day at various locations on campus, making them highly unlikely to come back to Lister to have lunch. The food quality and variety (as well as the quality of the ingredients and the actual preparing/cooking of the food) would have to absolutely skyrocket before I would consider taking a boxed lunch from Lister to eat on campus. Many kids would not eat nearly enough to warrant spending close to \$5000 dollars for a meal plan. Some students aren't as well off and may not be able to afford a meal plan at this price. Some students (myself and much of my floor included) currently have extra money on our meal plan as of now, and likely won't spend it all by the end of the year (even in the low bracket). The mandatory "flex dollars" are a ridiculous idea; having to pay an extra amount of money to essentially "pay out of pocket" at the currently highly used restaurants in SUB and CAB, as well as The Marina, is expensive and inconvenient for students. Those taking night classes, or returning late to Lister from doing homework etc, have nowhere to eat with their meal plan money. Do not implement the new plan, stick with the current one. Students love making midnight trips to The Mar, and love the variety that extra dining services provides. If the plan is implemented, I will need a complete refund of the meal plan dollars that roll over to next year as I will NOT be staying in Lister and want nothing to do with this new meal plan.

I must say I feel annoyed when seeing that the reason for the university to change the current meal plan to the anytime dining mode is that students always run out of meal plan money. Maybe there are students who have to add huge amount of money to their meal plan. But please note that food in Lister market, in the Marina, and from the food court of SUB are all prepared food. THEY ARE MUCH MORE EXPENSIVE THAN FOOD COOKED BY STUDENTS THEMSELVES. I would argue that STUDENTS WHO DO NOT ALWAYS COOK NY THEM SELVES TEND TO RUN OUT OF MONEY, BUT NOT THOSE WHO WANT TO SAVE MONEY BY PREPARING FOOD THEMSELVES. The fact that foods are expensive must contribute to the fact that a lot of students are running out of money. As I prepare my own food two meals out of three a day, I can save a great deal on dining. But the anytime dining plan is robbing me off my money. I understand that students who want to save money by preparing food themselves are minority among all the students, but PLEASE DO NOT BLOCK THEIR METHOD OF SAVING MONEY. THE UNIVERSITY SHOULD RESPECT EVERY STUDENTS' METHOD OF SAVING MONEY. I strongly recommend that a declining mode option should be available for those who prefer to prepare food themselves. The anytime dining plan is beneficial to those who eat three meals a day relying on their meal plan, but obviously it does not satisfy everyone. What's more, THE FOOD AVAILABLE FROM MEAL PLAN CAN NEVER SATISFY THE FOOD VARIETY REQUIREMENTS OF EVERY SINGLE STUDENT. BY INTRODUCING ANYTIME DINING MODE, SOME STUDENTS WILL HAVE TO GIVE UP THEIR PREFERRED FOOD THAT THEY CAN COOK THEMSELVES IN ORDER TO MAKE THE MONEY THEY PAID WORTH THE FOOD THEY EAT. I must say that if the only option for on campus residence has a mandatory anytime dining meal plan next year, I will have to live off campus.

I understand that this meal plan will reduce stress on students however I am a firm advocate for the split cost meal plan and the ability to top it up. This not only saves students money but reduces waste from the caf which is inevitable with buffet style food. I cannot justify spending that much money a year on food and the cost would have the potential to deter me from staying in Lister another year.